



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,673	04/03/2001	Brady Esch	CARDE.57307	4482

7590 03/26/2003

Gunther Hanke  
Fulwider, Patton, Lee & Utecht  
P.O. Box 22615  
Long Beach, CA 90801-5615

EXAMINER

SIRMONS, KEVIN C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3763

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/825,673

Applicant(s)

ESCH ET AL.

Examiner

Kevin C. Simons

Art Unit

3763

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 January 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 27-80 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 38-43, 48-72 and 78-80 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 27, 28, 33-37, 44-47 and 73-77 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 29-32 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Election/Restrictions***

Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I, Species I in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Claims 27-37, 44-47 and 73-77 with be canceled.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 27-34, 37 and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gallup et al U.S. Pat. No. 5,611,388.

Gallup discloses an aortic catheter comprising: an elongated shaft having a proximal end and a distal end (10), said elongated shaft of sufficient length to be inserted into an ascending aorta (10) and guided transluminally such that the distal end is positioned in a descending aorta when in an operative position (10); a flow control regulator positioned on said elongated shaft such that when said distal end is in the operative position said flow control regulator is capable of at least partially occluding the descending aorta (78); a proximal portion of said elongated shaft having a corporeal perfusion lumen (13) and an arch perfusion lumen (24, 76), said arch perfusion lumen terminating as at least one (24 and (76, col. 7, lines 40-46) or more arch perfusion port proximate to a patient's arch vessels;

Art Unit: 3763

and a distal portion of said elongated shaft extending beyond said proximal portion, terminating as at least one or more corporeal perfusion port distal to said flow control regulator (fig. 1); as to claim 28, (col. 6, lines 11-14); as to claim 34, (78); as to claim 37, (86a and 86b); as to claim 44, (54); as to claim 45, (fig. 1); as to claim 46, (24, 76); as to claim 47, (13).

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 28-35, 44-47 and 73-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bertolero et al U.S. Pat. No. 5,868,703.

Bertolero discloses an aortic catheter system comprising: corporeal perfusion port, flow regulator, arch perfusion port, and a cardiopulmonary bypass machine (figs. 1-11); as to claim 74, (see above rejection); as to claim 75, (col. 17, lines 25-38); as to claim 76, (figs. 1-11); as to claim 77, (col. 2, lines 46-67); and as to claims 28-35 and 44-47, (figs. 1-11).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 33, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gallup et al U.S. Pat. No. 5,611,388.

Art Unit: 3763

Gallup discloses an aortic catheter substantially as claimed. However, it may not be clear if he discloses an actuation source. Gallup discloses that his device can be inflated by a means well known in the art (col. 8, lines 1-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Gallup to use any actuation source for actuating a balloon/flow regulator, since Gallup clearly discloses that his device can be actuated by a means well known in the art.

As to claims 35 and 36, Gallup discloses an aortic catheter substantially as claimed except for the claimed dimension and material of the balloon. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Gallup to have the dimensions and material as specified by applicant, since applicant has not disclosed that having the catheter dimensions and material of the balloon solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the catheter would perform equally well with any known aortic balloon catheter in the art.

#### *Allowable Subject Matter*

Claims 29-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### *Conclusion*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Sirmons whose telephone number is (703) 306-5410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,673

Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

*KCS*  
Kevin C. Sirmons  
Patent Examiner  
3/20/03

*Brian L. Casler*  
BRIAN L. CASLER  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700